Human Factors Guidance to Prevent Healthcare Disparities with the Adoption of Electronic Health Records

ABSTRACT

EHRs hold significant potential; however they present many accessibility challenges: People with disabilities and chronic diseases, as well as the rapidly growing senior population need direct access to their EHRs. Current healthcare systems do not respond effectively to these challenges. NIST leads the government in accessibility and usability research and is providing best practices and comprehensive technical guidelines that will ensure widespread EHR accessibility to minimize the likelihood of creating or exacerbating healthcare disparities among an increasingly diverse population of potential users.
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Promise and Potential

EHR’s offer significant promise to improve efficiency, enhance effectiveness and reduce healthcare costs.
Challenge

The potential of EHR’s will only be achieved if the systems are usable by all target end users.

Potential Problem

Differential usability + Differential benefit = Differential outcomes (Healthcare Disparities)
Reality

EHR End Users

Clinicians*
Patients
Caregivers

Task

To provide technical guidance regarding the design and development of EHR systems, which will help to prevent the creation or exacerbation of healthcare disparities with the national adoption and utilization of EHR systems.
**Task**

This goal was accomplished through identification and application of best practices, guidance and standards in software usability and accessibility.

**Healthcare Disparities**

Race/Ethnicity
Socioeconomic Status
Disability
English proficiency

*Premature death*
*Excess illness*
*Increase costs*
Healthcare Disparities

Quality of Health Care

Non-Minority

Minority

Populations with Equal Access to Health Care

Population Disparity

Clinical Appropriateness and Need

Patient Preferences

The Operation of Healthcare Systems and the Legal and Regulatory Climate

Discrimination: Biases and Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Uncertainty

Methodology

Task #1

Determine target EHR users and identify EHR Related Human Factors Risk Characteristics among Target Populations at Highest Risk for Healthcare Disparities
Methodology

Target EHR users

Clinicians
Healthcare workforce

Patients
Caregivers
Consumers

Methodology

Populations at High Risk for the development of EHR associated Healthcare Disparities

1) Low Socioeconomic Status
2) Non Native English Speakers or those with Limited English Proficiency
3) People Living with Disabilities
4) Seniors and the Elderly
5) Racial and Ethnic Minorities
Methodology

EHR Related Human Factors Risk Characteristics among Target Populations at Highest Risk for Healthcare Disparities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Risk Populations</th>
<th>HF Risk Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Socioeconomic Status</td>
<td>User Context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Native English Speakers/LEP</td>
<td>Information Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People Living with Disabilities</td>
<td>Human-System Interface User Context Information Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors and the Elderly</td>
<td>Human-System Interface User Context Information Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial and Ethnic Minorities</td>
<td>Human-System Interface User Context Information Architecture Culture*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Task #2

Derive HF technical guidance from existing widely recognized international standards and the current scientific literature.

1) International Standards Organization Reports
2) International Ergonomics Association Reports
3) Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Reports
4) National Institute for Standards and Technology Reports
5) Other US Federal Agency Reports
6) Scientific Literature
7) HF Expert Testimony and Feedback
Methodology

Technical Guidance

EHR Design and Development Guidelines

1) Conduct Comprehensive Formative and Summative Testing with a Reasonable Set of Representative End Users
2) Report Results of the Tests in Common Industry Format (CIF) for EHR Usability Test Reports
3) Accommodate as Many EHR Users as Possible Using Universal Design Principles
4) Include Healthcare Disparities Oriented Use Cases as Part of the EHR Design and Development Process

EHR Evaluation Guidelines

1) Require Documentation of Formative and Summative Testing with a Reasonable Set of Representative Target Users as a Prerequisite for EHR Evaluation
2) Require Documentation of Product Features Designed to Increase Usability and Accessibility or Documentation of a Lack of Need for any Accommodation among a Reasonable Set of Target Users
3) Require the Development of EHR Operation, Safety, Customer Support and Educational Materials that are Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate for a Reasonable Set of Representative Target Users as a Prerequisite for EHR Evaluation Process
4) Include User Requirements in Product Specifications as a Prerequisite for EHR Certification
Methodology

Technical Guidance

EHR Post Market Evaluation and Monitoring Guidelines

1) Include Evaluation of EHR Impact on a Target Set of Healthcare Disparities Indicators as a Part of the EHR Effectiveness, Post Adoption and Health Impact Evaluation

2) Implement a National EHR Product Registry

EHR Research Guidelines

1) Support ongoing Human Factors Research Regarding the Potential Impact, Opportunities and Barriers for EHRs to Reduce Healthcare Disparities

2) Evaluate the Human Factors Implications of Integrating Patient-Oriented Functionality into EHRs

3) Support the Development of Evidence-Based Criteria for Voluntary Population-Oriented Product Certifications

4) Evaluate Potential Differences in Information Design Needs, the Impact of These Differences and Opportunities for Accommodation across User Populations

5) Evaluate the Human Factors Implications of Increased Stress (Workload Induced, User Environment Induced, Rural/Urban Residence etc.) on EHR Accessibility, Usability, User Experience and Health Outcomes

6) Evaluate the Human Factors Implications of the Emerging EHR Health IT Workforce Working in Non Clinical Practice Settings (Home, Long Term Care Facility etc.) on EHR Accessibility, Usability, User Experience and Health Outcomes.
Conclusions

1) Significant intractable healthcare disparities exist and associated with increased healthcare costs, premature morbidity and excess mortality.

2) Wide adoption and meaningful use of EHRs could improve Disparities, if EHR use and benefits, are equitably distributed across user populations.

3) Disparities could worsen, if some providers are not able to use EHRs or some patients not able to benefit from them.

Conclusions

1) HFE has made considerable contributions to our understanding of the possible barriers and potential solutions needed to ensure broad accessibility and usability of emerging EHR systems.

2) HFE knowledge and expertise is not routinely considered during the EHR design and development process.

3) There is also need for federal policy leadership, in this area, to help ensure that a) all providers are able to use EHRs and b) all patients are able to benefit from EHR use.

4) EHR product enhancements can be made by addressing key disparities-related design issues and by incorporating human factors engineering principles into the EHR design and development process.

5) Significant progress along these lines will inevitably a) improve provider EHR adoption b) help lead to reductions in healthcare disparities among affected populations c) catalyze improvements in healthcare quality for all.