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We do not always notice data quality (DQ) problems until it is too late. However, organizations are increasingly aware of the overwhelming advantages of high-quality information. They are also painfully aware of the significant costs of low-quality information – costs that translate into hard dollars, reduced productivity, waste, and myriads of other consequences – even affecting quality-of-life.

DQ Landscape

There is a growing demand for DQ initiatives as organizations’ awareness of the importance of their DQ increases. Efforts in the Federal government include DoD DQ Guidelines, Data Quality Act, and the reference models issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). In the private sector, the DQ landscape includes a variety of vendors, such as Axiom, Ascential, Evoke, Firstlogic, and Group 1. These vendors utilize different technologies, such as ETL (Extraction, Transform, Load), name matching, cleansing and profiling, business rule extraction, and customer recognition systems to provide DQ services to organizations.

Leading organizations no longer treat data quality as an unknown. Rather, people today accept that they do have DQ problems but may not know how to deal with such problems. Furthermore, many new DQ issues have emerged as organizations began their journey to data quality: real-time cleansing and matching, metadata management, DQ dashboards, and new government regulations. Real-time cleansing and matching have become an important issue in the real-time nature of e-business practices and processes today. The issue of metadata management has gathered attention because standards, definitions, and application metadata sharing are key to solving many DQ problems.

In helping organizations tackle their DQ problems, we have learned many lessons. A decade ago DQ job titles were unheard of, whereas today more and more organizations have formal positions and job descriptions for DQ analysts, managers, directors, and vice presidents. Meanwhile, traditional DQ efforts have gone beyond the simple issue of measuring accuracy. It is well established that in improving data quality, organizations must treat DQ as a multi-dimensional concept beyond accuracy\(^1\). Methods and tools for performing DQ assessments have been developed and widely accepted in practice. In addition, understanding the systems, processes, and management practices of an organization has become as important as understanding its data. Furthermore, many organizations have found that resolving their DQ problem is not a single-phase process. Rather, it is a journey, where one solution may lead to new problems and employees at all levels must come together and to solve the DQ problems.


An MIT Perspective

Early research efforts in DQ at MIT led to the development of the Total Data Quality Management (TDQM) cycle: Define, Measure, Analyze, and Improve. The definition component identifies DQ dimensions. The measurement component produces DQ metrics. The analysis component identifies root causes for DQ problems and calculates the impacts of poor-quality data. Finally, the improvement component provides techniques for improving DQ. A core set of data quality requirements has also been identified to perform the TDQM Cycle for continuous improvement and has been published in leading journals and books. The past research efforts of TDQM at MIT have helped lay the foundation for some of the more current activities, including those related to managing information as a product, customer-centric DQ management, corporate household research, and data quality training programs.

It is important to recognize that DQ is multi-dimensional and includes many factors beyond accuracy, including objectivity, completeness, timeliness, ease of understanding, and believability. In the measurement component data integrity analysis becomes the fundamental activity by applying Codd’s five integrity constraints, namely domain, entity, referential, column, and user-defined integrity, to the data at hand. One of the key activities of the analysis component is the use of information product maps (IPMAPs) to analyze the data flow in an organization. IPMAPs, a powerful modeling technique, address DQ problems and bring awareness of these problems to organizations by identifying their root causes. Once the root causes of DQ problems have been identified, the improvement component begins with the DQ Filtering System (DQFS). The DQFS is part of the undergoing research efforts at MIT to develop an innovative, practical, and integrated approach that facilitates the development, production, maintenance, and management of high-quality information products throughout their life cycle. The vision behind the DQFS is to extend the existing body of research work and to develop a scalable system for large-scale operations and small organizations.

Implementation Issues

Without a solid foundation of high-quality data, “dirty data” can chip away at an organization’s ability to function effectively. A data quality initiative that is well defined within the context of an organization may still encounter difficulties with implementation. Some of the difficulties faced by organizations when executing their data quality initiatives include dealing with data standards, handling secondary information, reconciling science DQ and general data quality, integrating disparate disciplines, and scrapping and re-working.

Conclusion

Today’s EPA conference provides us with the unique opportunity to discuss the issues facing quality systems for environmental programs. Data quality is a core issue in improving environmental data that is fundamental to successful environmental programs. Over the last
two decades, DQ research efforts, government leadership, and industry practice together have yielded significant results for DQ implementation and institutionalization. Today data quality is practiced and researched at a rapid pace. The lessons learned in applying solutions to solve DQ problems in other settings could be adopted to manage the quality of environmental data, which in turn would enhance the EPA Quality Community’s ability to contribute to environmental protection efforts.
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