Information Quality at the Transportation Security Administration

ABSTRACT

Historical data is an important component of business analysis. This presentation will discuss several examples and techniques employed at the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) to build controls and checks surrounding data inputs. Using a combination of several COTS applications, TSA is building real-time data warehousing. This presentation will discuss several requirements and the solutions created to better ensure information quality, in some instances by leveraging existing data. Lack of proper data collection may result in uninformed decision making. We will discuss some of the collaboration techniques within groups of business owners and the development team in creating modules that have resulted in higher levels of user adoption in the field. As well, we will discuss current solutions for data sharing within TSA as well as with other government agencies.

BIOGRAPHY

Jim Watts

Program Manager Office of Operational Process and Performance Metrics, Office of Security Operations Transportation Security Administration

Jim has a Masters degree in Business Administration from Winthrop University and an undergraduate degree in Mathematics. He is product and customer certified in Siebel and MicroStrategy business intelligence applications. He has worked for 25 years in consulting for



various federal clients including the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Army, the Air Force, the Army National Guard, the General Services Administration, and the Department of Labor. For the past three years, he has been Program Manager for the Office of Operational Process and Performance Metrics, with the Transportation Security Administration. His responsibilities include creating and tracking enterprise metrics. He is currently responsible for the developing a series of solutions to collect and track operational activities for the 450+ federalized airports.





















Testing (Qu	ality Control)		Transportation Security Administration
Work Product Category	Tool(s)	Verification Method(s)	Validation Method(s)
Requirements	Rational RequisitePro, BugZilla	Peer Reviews	Formal Reviews, QA Audits, Prototypes, Customer Acceptance of Requirements Specification.
Designs	Rational RequisitePro, AllFusion ErWin	Peer Reviews	Formal Reviews, Customer Acceptance of Design Specification.
Software	Subversion, Visual Studio, Rational ClearQuest	Peer Reviews, Unit Testing, Integration Testing, System Testing, Quality Audits	User Acceptance Test (UAT), Customer Acceptance of Software.
Documentation	Rational ClearQuest	Peer Reviews	Formal Reviews, QA Audits, Customer Acceptance of documents.
Test Procedures	Rational TestManager, Rational ClearQuest	Integration Testing, System Testing	Customer Acceptance of Test Specification.
		11	

Challenge – inconsistent geographic data

Many TSA systems allow user-entered checkpoint names, machine information, and airport location data. These text-based entries may differ depending on the individual(s) performing data input.

Transportation

ecurity dministration

N.

Furthermore, changes to geographic information must be propagated in a timely manner to both data entry and reporting systems.









olution - Boundary def	init	ior	٦		Transportation Security Administration
Insert New Boundary					High and low
Metric	Boundary	/ Value	Active	Activate	houndary limita
Baggage - Baggage Data - Number of Locks Cut or Broken for Screening - Baggage	High Limit	<u>100</u>	Active	De-Activate	
Baggage - LEO Intervention - Cash > \$10,000 on International Flights - Baggage	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	can be set on a
Baggage - LEO Intervention - Contraband - Baggage	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	per-metric, per-
Baggage - LEO Intervention - Drug Paraphernalia - Baggage	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	category basis for
Baggage - LEO Intervention - Drugs - Baggage	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	category basis tor
Baggage - LEO Intervention - Other LEO Interventions for Unresolved Alarm: - Baggage	^s High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	PMIS submissions.
Baggage - LEO Intervention - Undeclared and/or Loaded Firearms - Baggage	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	Submissions with
Baggage - Prohibited Items Identified - Disabling Chemicals and Other Dangerous Items - Baggage	High Limit	<u>25</u>	Active	De-Activate	values outside of
Baggage - Prohibited Items Identified - Explosive Materials - Baggage	High Limit	<u>5</u>	Active	De-Activate	
Baggage - Prohibited Items Identified - Flammable Items - Baggage	High Limit	25	Active	De-Activate	boundaries are
Baggage - Prohibited Items Identified - Loose Ammunition - Baggage	High Limit	<u>100</u>	Active	De-Activate	outomotioally
Baggage - Screener Data - Number of baggage screeners on duty - Baggage	e High Limit	<u>100</u>	Active	De-Activate	automatically
Baggage - Screener Data - Number of baggage screeners scheduled for work - Baggage	High Limit	<u>100</u>	Active	De-Activate	flagged.
Baggage - Screener Data - Number of baggage screeners tardy - Baggage	High Limit	<u>10</u>	Active	De-Activate	
Baggage - Screener Data - Number of baggage screeners with unscheduled absences - Baggage	High Limit	<u>30</u>	Active	De-Activate	
Complaint Counts - Baggage Handling Process	High Limit	<u>5</u>	Active	De-Activate	
Complaint Counts - Civil rights/discrimination	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	
Complaint Counts - Discourteous treatment	High Limit	<u>3</u>	Active	De-Activate	
Complaint Counts - Improper handling of property	High Limit	3	Active	De-Activate	
Complaint Counts - Inappropriate contact	High Limit	<u>2</u>	Active	De-Activate	
Complaint Counts - Lack of privacy	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	
Complaint Counts - Lax screening	High Limit	2	Active	De-Activate	





Data Qu	uality - Si	ubm	ission	data inte	grity		Transportation Security Administration
Master List of Cat	egories/Item Names		Categories/Ite	n Names for airport			
Collegory Access Control Access Control Access Control Communication Com	tem Type Access Card Key Poundy Card Bachess Card Bachess Computer Cabel Buckberry Bickberry Coll Prone Deak Prone Pager Thom-way Rabio VOP Prene Connote Structure Met Connote Structure Met Connote Structure (1) P I P P P	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Category Boges Computer Penter Penter TV	tem Type Initial Bage Letters Ceare Ceare Ceare Ceare Foldscreen List Inventory Controlled Property Inv Castgory Badgee Compter Pronare Pronare Pronare Pronare Tv If 4 Page _ of 1	salary	e tem Type Met Mode Lastos Chars Chars Chars Tatacreen	• Recerch 1 - 5 of 5
						Edt	
Drop-o individ	down lists lual airport	and (ts' ne	data en eds wh	try screens ile maintair 20	can be ning sta	e customized andard categ	according to ory definitions.



tart i ime	Finis	h Time	Project			
1/10/2009 1:00:36 PM	11/11	/2009 2:53:12 PM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/9/2009 6:26:17 PM	12/9/3	2009 6:32:12 PM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/9/2009 6:48:01 PM	12/9/2	2009 6:54:48 PM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/9/2009 7:18:53 PM	12/9/3	2009 7:25:22 PM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/11/2009 1:24:53 PM	12/11	/2009 1:25:42 PM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
/11/2009 1:31:28 PM	12/11	/2009 1:32:04 PM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/12/2009 8:29:40 PM	12/14	/2009 2:06:56 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/14/2009 2:58:32 AM	12/14	/2009 3:40:51 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/14/2009 4:33:56 AM	12/15	/2009 2:14:51 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
2/15/2009 3:14:24 AM	12/15	/2009 3:58:45 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
15/2009 4·50·44 AM	12/15	/2009 5:19:01 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
10/2000 1100/111 /1111						
REPORT DETAILS Report Filter:	12/16	/2009 4:00:15 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
REPORT DETAILS Report Filter: (Date (ID) Between 2/9/20	12/16	/2009 4:00:15 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
REPORT DETAILS Report Filter: (Date (ID) Between 2/9/20	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010)	/2009 4:00:15 AM	9A87A1E84	7385EA28D7620849413	73F4	PIMS BI Tool
ALL CONTRACTOR AND A CO	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010) PMIS Captured Metric Count	PMIS Throughput Metric Count	9A87A1E84	Number of PARIS	73F4 Data Number of PARIS Incidents	PIMS BI Tool PIMS BI Tool arows: 7 Data columns: 6 Number of PARIS Investigations
ALL CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT AND A	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010) PMIS Captured Metric Count 69,517	PMIS Throughput Metric Count 35,860	9A87A1E84 Number of IMS Inquiries	7385EA28D7620849413 Number of PARIS Inspections 36	73F4 Date Number of PARIS Incidents 54	PIMS BI Tool PIMS BI Tool arows: 7 Data columns: 6 Number of PARIS Investigations 18
V16/2009 2:58:24 AM REPORT DETAILS Report Filter: (Date (ID) Between 2/9/20 Date Metrics 2/15/2010 2/14/2010	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010) PMIS Captured Metric Count 09/317 77,6/26	PMIS Throughput Metric Count 39,133	9A87A1E84	Number of PARIS Inspections 26 23	Dati Number of PARIS Incidents 54 69	PIMS BI Tool PIMS BI Tool a rows: 7 Data columns: 6 Number of PARIS Investigations 18 10
This This REPORT DETAILS Report Filter: (Date Metrics 2/15/2010 2/15/2010 2/13/2010 2/13/2010	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010) PMIS Captured Metric Count 09, 517 77, 6/26 78,037	2009 4:00:15 AM PMIS Throughput Metric Count 39,133 39,078	9A87A1E84 Number of IMS Inquiries 7 1 1	Number of PARIS Inspections	73F4 Date Number of PARIS Incidents 54 69 72	PIMS BI Tool ? a rows: 7 Data columns: 6 Number of PARIS Investigations 10 12
REPORT DETAILS REPORT DETAILS Report Filler: (Date (D) Between 2/9/20 Date Metrics 2/15/2010 2/13/2010 2/13/2010 2/13/2010	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010) PMIS Captured Metric Count 69, 517 77, 676 78, 037 79, 360	2009 4:00:15 AM PMIS Throughput Metric Count 35,800 39,133 39,078 40,358	9A87A1E84 Number of IMS Inquiries 7 1 2 7 4	Number of PARIS Inspections	73F4 Det Number of PARIS Incidents 69 72 86	PIMS BI Tool PIMS BI Tool arows: 7 Data columns: Number of PARIS Investigations 10 12 24
V16/2009 2:58:24 AM REPORT DETAILS Report File: (Date Metrics 2/15/2010 2/13/2010 2/13/2010 2/12/2010 2/12/2010 2/12/2010	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010) PMIS Captured Metric Count 09,517 77,676 70,037 70,360 70,754 70,755	2009 4:00:15 AM PMIS Throughput Metric Count 35,860 39,078 30,978 30,505 26,000	9A87A1E84 Number of IMS Inquiries 7 1 1 7 4 52 2 52	Number of PARIS Inspections 36 25 18 176 232 232	73F4 Data Number of PARS Incidents 54 69 72 86 86 84	PIMS BI Tool PIMS BI Tool rows: 7 Data columns: 6 Number of PARIS Investigations 10 12 24 57 14
V16/2009 2:58:24 AM REPORT DETAILS Report Filter: (Date (D) Between 2/9/20 Date Metrics 2/15/2010 2/14/2010 2/14/2010 2/12/2010 2/11/2010 2/10/2010 2/19/2010	12/16 10 and 2/15/2010) PMIS Captured Metric Count 09, 517 77, 076 78, 037 79, 360 70, 754 77, 778 78, 862	2009 4:00:15 AM PMIS Throughput Metric Count 35:800 39:133 39:078 40:388 39:501 36:979 38:418	9A87A1E84	Number of PARIS 10 23 10 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 174 175 176 173 174 175 176 176 177	73F4 Number of PARIS Incidents 54 69 72 86 84 70 109	PIMS BI Tool PIMS BI Tool PIMS







